

To whom it may concern :

I would like to (briefly and politely) voice my opposition to H169.

There is no evidence that magazine restrictions have any effect on crime, or the lethality of

any one occurrence. Recently, a California Federal District Court in the 9th Circuit ruled

magazine restrictions unenforceable and unconstitutional.

Sadly, the Blacks' assertion that Andrew would still be here if there had been a waiting period

is merely conjecture. The fact is , most firearms used for suicide have been owned for many

years, and Andrew's case is the ONE suicide in years where the firearm was bought and used

in the same 24-hour period. In addition, the FBI reports the "time-to-crime" of traced firearms

is an average of 11 years, so any assertion that waiting periods reduce "crimes of passion"

is only fantasy.

So, H169 "gives back" on unconstitutional magazine restrictions, to make us feel better about

accepting a useless waiting period.

Thank you, but no thanks.